Name: John-Eliot Orr

Date: 4/19/2025

Class: HU 3120

Instructor: Professor Dagenais

Proposal Authors Note

1. **What changes did you make to the assignment? Why? (I'm looking for more than "because Jordan said so." Try to think about the assignment's audience and how revisions would help them.)**

   a. I first moved the "anticipated objections" section from the conclusion to the project overview because I felt it made more sense to address these concerns before going into a summary of the project. This way, the conclusion could focus solely on the summary and call to action, which helped improve the overall flow and structure of the paper.

   b. I also rewrote the benefits section to spend more time highlighting how access to healthy and convenient meals can positively impact student wellbeing and academic success. This made the argument for the proposal stronger by more clearly explaining the benefits and supporting them with a relevant source.

   c. Next, I revised the timeline section to include more evidence supporting my estimates. I added a citation from a university renovation project to make my claims more credible and reworded the food truck section to better explain why I believe a shorter startup timeline is reasonable.

   d. Lastly, I made some minor aesthetic changes, like making the title of the paper larger in proportion to the body text. There were also some grammar issues I resolved throughout the paper.

2. **Were there any changes that you received feedback on and didn't incorporate? Why?**

   a. In my feedback, it was suggested that I incorporate elements of MTU's strategic plan. I considered this, but ultimately decided it

didn't connect directly to my proposal. The mission statement focuses more on long-term student outcomes, and while the values mention creating a positive learning environment, I didn't see a strong tie to the issue of food accessibility. While including it might show that I did my research, I chose not to force it in where it didn't naturally fit the argument.